

ON A PALEY-WIENER THEOREM AND A q -SAMPLING FORMULA FOR THE q -DUNKL TRANSFORM

Ferjani Nouri ¹, Slim Bouaziz ², Néji Bettaibi ³

Abstract

In this paper, we present some new elements of q -harmonic analysis associated with a q -Dunkl operator. Next, we prove a Paley-Wiener theorem for a q -Dunkl transform and characterize a q -Dunkl Paley-Wiener space. Finally, we prove a q -Dunkl sampling theorem, using $\pm q^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, as sampling points.

AMS Math. Subj. Class.: 33D15, 33D60, 42A38, 39A10, 44A12, 44A20

Key Words and Phrases: q -series, q -Dunkl operator, Paley-Wiener theorem, sampling formula

1. Introduction

The celebrated classical Paley-Wiener theorem asserts that for a positive real a , the Paley-Wiener space

$$PW_a = \left\{ f : f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-a}^a e^{ixt} u(t) dt, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(-a, a) \right\}$$

is composed by functions analytically extendable into complex plane as entire functions of exponential type at most a . Here, $\mathcal{D}(-a, a)$ is the space of C^∞ functions on \mathbb{R} with compact supports in $(-a, a)$. Each element of PW_a is called band-limited signal.

The famous Whittaker-Shannon-Kotel'nikov sampling theorem asserts that every band-limited signal can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{\pi}{a}n\right) \frac{\sin(ax - \pi n)}{ax - \pi n}.$$

In the literature, these theorems are known to hold for more general transforms in classical analysis as well as in Quantum Calculus (see [1], [2], [4], [5], [7], [8], [10], [12], [15], [16] and references therein).

Recently, a q -analogue of the Dunkl transform on the real line (see [3]) has been introduced and some elements of q -Dunkl harmonic analysis have been discussed.

In this paper, we introduce and study the generalized q -Dunkl translation operator, we give two characterizations of the q -Dunkl Paley-Wiener space and prove a q -sampling theorem, using $\pm q^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ as sampling points.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we present some preliminary notions and notations useful in the sequel. In Section 3, we recall some results and properties from the theory of the q -Dunkl operator and the q -Dunkl transform (see [3]). Next, in Section 4, we define the generalized q -Dunkl translation operator and discuss its properties. Section 5 is devoted to prove a Paley-Wiener theorem, to give two characterizations of the q -Dunkl Paley-Wiener space and to prove a q -Dunkl sampling theorem.

2. Notations and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we assume $q \in (0, 1)$, and we refer to the general reference [9] for the definitions, notations and properties of the q -shifted factorials and the q -hypergeometric functions.

We write $\mathbb{R}_q = \{\pm q^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}_q = \mathbb{R}_q \cup \{0\}$, $\mathbb{R}_{q,+} = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$,

$$[x]_q = \frac{1 - q^x}{1 - q}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad [n]_q! = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(1 - q)^n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The q^2 -analogue differential operator is (see [13, 14])

$$\partial_q(f)(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(q^{-1}z) + f(-q^{-1}z) - f(qz) + f(-qz) - 2f(-z)}{2(1-q)z} & \text{if } z \neq 0, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \partial_q(f)(x) & (\text{in } \mathbb{R}_q) \quad \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark that if f is differentiable at z , then $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \partial_q(f)(z) = f'(z)$.

The q -Jackson integrals are defined by (see [11])

$$\int_0^a f(x) d_q x = (1-q)a \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n f(aq^n), \quad \int_a^b f(x) d_q x = \int_0^b f(x) d_q x - \int_0^a f(x) d_q x,$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} f(x) d_q x = (1-q) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^n f(q^n), \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) d_q x = \int_0^{\infty} f(x) d_q x + \int_0^{\infty} f(-x) d_q x,$$

provided the sums converge absolutely.

In what follows, we use the notations of the following sets and spaces:

- $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$ is the space of functions f defined on \mathbb{R}_q satisfying

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad P_{n,m,q}(f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_q} |x^m \partial_q^n f(x)| < +\infty$$

and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \partial_q^n f(x)$ (in \mathbb{R}_q) exists.

- $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$ composed of functions with compact support in \mathbb{R}_q and for $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{D}_q(A)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$ constituted of functions with supports in A .

- $L_q^\infty(\mathbb{R}_q) = \left\{ f : \|f\|_{\infty,q} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_q} |f(x)| < \infty \right\}.$
- $L_{\alpha,q}^p(\mathbb{R}_q) = \left\{ f : \|f\|_{p,\alpha,q} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p |x|^{2\alpha+1} d_q x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$

3. The q -Dunkl operator and the q -Dunkl transform

In this section, we collect some basic properties of the q -Dunkl operator and the q -Dunkl transform introduced in [3], useful in the sequel.

For $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, the q -Dunkl operator is defined by

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,q}(f)(x) = \partial_q [H_{\alpha,q}(f)](x) + [2\alpha + 1]_q \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2x},$$

where

$$H_{\alpha,q} : f = f_e + f_o \longmapsto f_e + q^{2\alpha+1} f_o.$$

It leaves the spaces $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$ and $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$ invariant and satisfies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Lambda_{\alpha,q}(f)(x) g(x) |x|^{2\alpha+1} d_q x = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Lambda_{\alpha,q}(g)(x) f(x) |x|^{2\alpha+1} d_q x. \quad (1)$$

Furthermore, we have the following general result.

LEMMA 1. For $a > 0$, if $\int_{-a}^a \Lambda_{\alpha,q}(f)(x)g(x)|x|^{2\alpha+1}d_qx$ exists, then

$$\int_{-a}^a \Lambda_{\alpha,q}(f)(x)g(x)|x|^{2\alpha+1}d_qx = 2a^{2\alpha+1} [f_e(q^{-1}a)g_o(a) + f_o(a)g_e(q^{-1}a)] - \int_{-a}^a \Lambda_{\alpha,q}(g)(x)f(x)|x|^{2\alpha+1}d_qx. \quad (2)$$

Proof. The result follows from [3, Lemma 2], the definition of the q -Jackson integral and the properties of the q -Dunkl operator $\Lambda_{\alpha,q}$. ■

It was shown in [3] that for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the function

$$\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, \lambda) = j_{\alpha}(\lambda x; q^2) + \frac{i\lambda x}{[2\alpha + 2]_q} j_{\alpha+1}(\lambda x; q^2) \quad (3)$$

is the unique solution of the q -differential-difference equation:

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda_{\alpha,q}(f) = i\lambda f \\ f(0) = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $j_{\alpha}(\cdot; q^2)$ is the normalized third Jackson's q -Bessel function given by

$$j_{\alpha}(x; q^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{q^{n(n+1)}}{(q^2; q^2)_n (q^{2(\alpha+1)}; q^2)_n} ((1-q)x)^{2n}. \quad (4)$$

The function $\psi_{\alpha,q}$ has a unique extension to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ and satisfies the following properties:

1) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n[\psi_{\alpha,q}(\cdot, \lambda)](x) = (i\lambda)^n \psi_{\alpha,q}(x, \lambda). \quad (5)$$

2) $\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, a\lambda) = \psi_{\alpha,q}(ax, \lambda) = \psi_{\alpha,q}(\lambda, ax)$, for all $a, x, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

3) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|\partial_q^n [\psi_{\alpha,q}(\cdot, \lambda)](x)| \leq \frac{4 |\lambda|^n}{(q; q)_{\infty}}, \quad \text{for all } \lambda, x \in \mathbb{R}_q. \quad (6)$$

In particular,

$$|\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, \lambda)| \leq \frac{4}{(q; q)_{\infty}}, \quad \text{for all } x, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_q. \quad (7)$$

4) From Lemma 1, we have for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $y \neq -x$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-a}^a \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, x) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, y) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t = \frac{2a^{2\alpha+2}}{[2\alpha + 2]_q (y + x)} \\ & \times \left\{ y j_{\alpha+1}(ay; q^2) j_{\alpha} \left(\frac{ax}{q}; q^2 \right) + x j_{\alpha+1}(ax; q^2) j_{\alpha} \left(\frac{ay}{q}; q^2 \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The q -Dunkl transform $F_D^{\alpha,q}$ is defined on $L_{\alpha,q}^1(\mathbb{R}_q)$ (see [3]) by

$$F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\lambda) = \frac{c_{\alpha,q}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \psi_{\alpha,q}(x, -\lambda) |x|^{2\alpha+1} d_q x, \text{ with } c_{\alpha,q} = \frac{(1+q)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma_{q^2}(\alpha+1)}.$$

It satisfies the following properties:

- For all $f \in L_{\alpha,q}^1(\mathbb{R}_q)$, we have $\|F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)\|_{\infty,q} \leq \frac{2c_{\alpha,q}}{(q;q)_\infty} \|f\|_{1,\alpha,q}$.
- For all $f \in L_{\alpha,q}^1(\mathbb{R}_q)$, such that $xf \in L_{\alpha,q}^1(\mathbb{R}_q)$,
 $F_D^{\alpha,q}(\Lambda_{\alpha,q} f)(\lambda) = i\lambda F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\lambda)$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha,q}(F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)) = -iF_D^{\alpha,q}(xf)$. (9)

• Plancherel theorem: $F_D^{\alpha,q}$ is an isomorphism from $L_{\alpha,q}^2(\mathbb{R}_q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$) onto itself and for $f \in L_{\alpha,q}^2(\mathbb{R}_q)$, we have

$$\|F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)\|_{2,\alpha,q} = \|f\|_{2,\alpha,q} \quad (10)$$

and

$$(F_D^{\alpha,q})^{-1}(f)(x) = \frac{c_{\alpha,q}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \psi_{\alpha,q}(x, \lambda) |\lambda|^{2\alpha+1} d_q \lambda. \quad (11)$$

4. q -Translation operator associated with the q -Dunkl operator

DEFINITION 1. The generalized q -Dunkl translation operator is defined for $f \in L_{\alpha,q}^2(\mathbb{R}_q)$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_q$ by

$$T_y^{\alpha,q}(f)(x) = \frac{c_{\alpha,q}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\lambda) \psi_{\alpha,q}(x, \lambda) \psi_{\alpha,q}(y, \lambda) |\lambda|^{2\alpha+1} d_q \lambda, \quad (12)$$

and $T_0^{\alpha,q}(f)(x) = f(x)$.

The following result gives a Taylor formula for the generalized q -Dunkl translation operator.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$ satisfy the following: there exist positive constants C and R such that

$$\|\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n f\|_{1,\alpha,q} \leq CR^n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_q$,

$$T_y^{\alpha,q}(f)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n(\alpha, q^2) \Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n(f)(y) x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n(\alpha, q^2) \Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n(f)(x) y^n, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a_{2n}(\alpha, q^2) &= \frac{q^{n(n+1)}}{(q^2; q^2)_n (q^{2(\alpha+1)}; q^2)_n} (1-q)^{2n} \\ a_{2n+1}(\alpha, q^2) &= \frac{1}{1-q^{2(\alpha+1)}} \times \frac{q^{n(n+1)}}{(q^2; q^2)_n (q^{2(\alpha+2)}; q^2)_n} (1-q)^{2n+1}. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$, satisfying the condition of the proposition and fix $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_q$. On the one hand, from (3) and (4), we have

$$\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n(\alpha, q^2)(i\lambda x)^n$$

and from the Plancherel theorem, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n(\alpha, q^2) \Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n(f)(y) x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n(\alpha, q^2) x^n F_D^{\alpha,q} (F_D^{\alpha,q}(\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n f)) (-y).$$

On the other hand, using the fact that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|F_D^{\alpha,q}(\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n f)\|_{\infty,q} \leq C_1 \|\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n f\|_{1,\alpha,q} \leq C_2 R^n,$$

we deduce that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |a_n(\alpha, q^2) F_D^{\alpha,q}(\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n f)(\lambda) \psi_{\alpha,q}(y, \lambda) \lambda^{2\alpha+1} x^n| d_q \lambda$$

converges. Then the Fubini theorem achieves the proof. \blacksquare

As an immediate consequence of the previous proposition, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 1. *If f satisfies the condition of Proposition 1, then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$, the function $T_z^{\alpha,q}(f)(x)$ is entire on \mathbb{C} and for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$T_z^{\alpha,q}(f)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n(\alpha, q^2) \Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n(f)(x) z^n.$$

PROPOSITION 2.

1) For $f \in L_{\alpha,q}^1(\mathbb{R}_q) \cup L_{\alpha,q}^2(\mathbb{R}_q)$, we have

$$T_y^{\alpha,q}(f)(x) = T_x^{\alpha,q}(f)(y), x, y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_q.$$

2) For all $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_q$ and all $f \in L_{\alpha,q}^2(\mathbb{R}_q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$), we have

$$T_y^{\alpha,q} f \in L_{\alpha,q}^2(\mathbb{R}_q) \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)) \text{ and } \|T_y^{\alpha,q} f\|_{2,\alpha,q} \leq \frac{4}{(q;q)_\infty} \|f\|_{2,\alpha,q}.$$

3) For $f \in L_{\alpha,q}^2(\mathbb{R}_q)$ and $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_q$, we have

$$F_D^{\alpha,q}(T_y^{\alpha,q} f)(\lambda) = \psi_{\alpha,q}(y, \lambda) F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\lambda). \quad (15)$$

4) We have the following product formula

$$T_y^{\alpha,q} [\psi_{\alpha,q}(\cdot, \lambda)](x) = \psi_{\alpha,q}(x, \lambda) \psi_{\alpha,q}(y, \lambda). \quad (16)$$

5) For $f \in \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$, $y \in \mathbb{R}_q$, we have $\Lambda_{\alpha,q} T_y^{\alpha,q} f = T_y^{\alpha,q} \Lambda_{\alpha,q} f$.

6) For all $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T_x^{\alpha,q} f(-y) g(y) |y|^{2\alpha+1} d_q y = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) T_x^{\alpha,q} g(-y) |y|^{2\alpha+1} d_q y. \quad (17)$$

Proof. 1) It is an immediate consequence of the definition of the generalized q -Dunkl translation operator.

2) Let $y \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_q$ and $f \in L_{\alpha,q}^2(\mathbb{R}_q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$). Since $\psi_{\alpha,q}(\cdot, y)$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}_q (resp. belongs to $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$), we have, by using the Plancherel theorem, $\psi_{\alpha,q}(\cdot, y) F_D^{\alpha,q}(f) \in L_{\alpha,q}^2(\mathbb{R}_q)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$), and

$$T_y^{\alpha,q}(f) = (F_D^{\alpha,q})^{-1} [\psi_{\alpha,q}(\cdot, y) F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)] \in L_{\alpha,q}^2(\mathbb{R}_q) \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)\text{)}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_y^{\alpha,q}(f)\|_{2,\alpha,q} &= \|(F_D^{\alpha,q})^{-1} [\psi_{\alpha,q}(\cdot, y) F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)]\|_{2,\alpha,q} = \|\psi_{\alpha,q}(\cdot, y) F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)\|_{2,\alpha,q} \\ &\leq \|\psi_{\alpha,q}(\cdot, y)\|_{\infty,q} \|F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)\|_{2,\alpha,q} \leq \frac{4}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \|f\|_{2,\alpha,q}. \end{aligned}$$

3) The result follows from the previous Plancherel theorem.

4) Proposition 1 and the relations (5) and (7) give the relation.

5) Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$. From the properties of the q -Dunkl operator and the generalized q -Dunkl translation operator, we have $\Lambda_{\alpha,q}(f)$, $T_y^{\alpha,q}(f)$, $\Lambda_{\alpha,q} T_y^{\alpha,q}(f)$ and $T_y^{\alpha,q} \Lambda_{\alpha,q}(f)$ are in $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$. So, by the help of the relations (9) and (15), we get

$$F_D^{\alpha,q} [\Lambda_{\alpha,q} T_y^{\alpha,q}(f)](\lambda) = i\lambda F_D^{\alpha,q} [T_y^{\alpha,q}(f)](\lambda) = i\lambda \psi_{\alpha,q}(y, \lambda) F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\lambda)$$

and

$$F_D^{\alpha,q} [T_y^{\alpha,q} \Lambda_{\alpha,q}(f)](\lambda) = \psi_{\alpha,q}(y, \lambda) F_D^{\alpha,q} [\Lambda_{\alpha,q}(f)](\lambda) = i\lambda \psi_{\alpha,q}(y, \lambda) F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\lambda).$$

The Plancherel theorem achieves the proof of the relation.

6) Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$. It is easy to see that for all $t, x, y \in \mathbb{R}_q$, we have

$$|F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(t) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, x) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, -y) g(y)| \leq \frac{4}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \|F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)\|_{\infty,q} |\psi_{\alpha,q}(t, x)| |g(y)|.$$

Hence, since $\psi_{\alpha,q}(\cdot, x)$ and g are in $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q) \subset L_{\alpha,q}^1(\mathbb{R}_q)$, the Fubini theorem gives the desired relation. \blacksquare

5. q -Dunkl Paley-Wiener theorem

In this section, for $a \in \mathbb{R}_{q,+}$ and $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, we introduce the q -Dunkl Paley-Wiener space $PW_{q,a}^\alpha$ as

$$PW_{q,a}^\alpha = \left\{ f(x) = \frac{c_{\alpha,q}}{2} \int_{-a}^a u(t) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, x) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_q([-a, a]) \right\}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.

1) The q -Dunkl transform $F_D^{\alpha,q}$ is an isomorphism from $PW_{q,a}^\alpha$ onto $\mathcal{D}_q([-a, a])$.

2) Every element of the q -Dunkl Paley-Wiener space $PW_{q,a}^\alpha$ is the restriction on \mathbb{R}_q of an entire function on \mathbb{C} of exponential type.

Proof. 1) It follows from the definition of $PW_{q,a}^\alpha$ and the Plancherel theorem.

2) Let $f \in PW_{q,a}^\alpha$. There exists $u \in \mathcal{D}_q([-a, a])$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$,

$$f(x) = \frac{c_{\alpha,q}}{2} \int_{-a}^a u(t) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, x) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t. \quad (18)$$

Since for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_q \cap [-a, a]$, the function $\psi_{\alpha,q}(t, \cdot)$ is entire on \mathbb{C} and satisfies for all $R > 0$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z| < R$,

$$|u(t) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, z) |t|^{2\alpha+1}| \leq a^{2\alpha+1} \|u\|_{\infty,q} \psi_{\alpha,q}(R, -ia),$$

then the function $\int_{-a}^a u(t) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, z) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t$ is entire on \mathbb{C} and f is extendable to an entire function on \mathbb{C} .

On the other hand, making a proof as in [6, Proposition 2], one can show that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and all $t \in \mathbb{R}_q \cap [-a, a]$, we have

$$|\psi_{\alpha,q}(t, z)| \leq 2e^{(1+\sqrt{q})a|z|}.$$

So, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $|f(z)| \leq \frac{2c_{\alpha,q}}{[2\alpha+2]_q} a^{2\alpha+2} \|u\|_{\infty,q} e^{(1+\sqrt{q})a|z|}$. ■

REMARK. Since $\mathcal{D}_q([-a, a]) \subset \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$, then the Plancherel theorem and the previous proposition assert that $PW_{q,a}^\alpha$ is a non trivial subspace of $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$.

The two following results give some characterizations of the q -Dunkl Paley-Wiener space $PW_{q,a}^\alpha$.

THEOREM 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$. The following three statements are equivalent:

(1) $f \in PW_{q,a}^\alpha$;

(2) for all $n > 2\alpha + 2$ there exists $c_n > 0$ such that

$$|\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^k f(x)| \leq \frac{c_n}{1 + |x|^n} a^k, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_q \text{ and all } k \in \mathbb{N}; \quad (19)$$

(3) there exist $n > 2\alpha + 2$ and $c_n > 0$ satisfying (19).

Proof. That (2) implies (3) is evident. It remains to prove that (1) implies (2) and (3) implies (1).

To prove that (1) implies (2), let $f \in PW_{q,a}^\alpha$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}_q([-a, a])$ satisfying (18).

For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^k f(x) = \frac{c_{\alpha,q}}{2} (i)^k \int_{-a}^a u(t) t^k \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, x) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t$, and by using (9) and (1), we get for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$,

$$x^n \Lambda_{\alpha,q}^k f(x) = \frac{c_{\alpha,q}}{2} (i)^{k-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n [u(t) t^k] \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, x) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t.$$

Since $u \in \mathcal{D}_q([-a, a])$, we have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $u(t) t^k$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$. Then, the fact that $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$ is invariant by $\Lambda_{\alpha,q}$ implies that $\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n [u(t) t^k]$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$, for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

So, for $k < n$, we get by the help of (7), for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$,

$$|x|^n |\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^k f(x)| \leq \frac{2c_{\alpha,q}}{(q; q)_\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n [u(t) t^k] \right| |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t = \tilde{c}_{n,k} = (\tilde{c}_{n,k} a^{-k}) a^k.$$

From the definition of the operator $\Lambda_{\alpha,q}$, one can prove, by induction, that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a sequence $(s_m(\epsilon, n, q))_{-n \leq m \leq n, \epsilon = \pm 1}$ of real numbers such that for all function g ,

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n [g(t)] = \frac{1}{t^n} \sum_{m=-n, \epsilon=\pm 1}^n s_m(\epsilon, n, q) g(\epsilon q^m t).$$

So, for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\Lambda_{\alpha,q}^n [u(t) t^k] = \frac{1}{t^n} \sum_{m=-n, \epsilon=\pm 1}^n s_m(\epsilon, n, q) [u(\epsilon q^m t) (\epsilon q^m t)^k].$$

Since the function $t \mapsto [u(\epsilon q^m t) (\epsilon q^m t)^k]$, $-n \leq m \leq n$, has compact support in $[-q^{-|m|}a, q^{-|m|}a]$, then for $k \geq n$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{t^n} \sum_{m=-n, \epsilon=\pm 1}^n s_m(\epsilon, n, q) [u(\epsilon q^m t) (\epsilon q^m t)^k] \right|$$

$$\leq \|u\|_{\infty, q} \sum_{m=-n, \epsilon=\pm 1}^n |s_m(\epsilon, n, q)| q^{mk} (q^{-m}a)^{k-n} = C_n a^{k-n}.$$

Hence, for $k \geq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| x^n \Lambda_{\alpha, q}^k f(x) \right| &= \left| \frac{c_{\alpha, q}}{2} (-i)^{k-n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Lambda_{\alpha, q}^n [u(t)t^k] \psi_{\alpha, q}(t, -x) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t \right| \leq \\ &\left(\frac{2c_{\alpha, q}}{(q; q)_{\infty}} C_n a^{-n} \int_{-q^{-n}a}^{q^{-n}a} |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t \right) a^k = \left(\frac{4c_{\alpha, q}}{(q; q)_{\infty} [2\alpha+2]_q} C_n a^{-n} (q^{-n}a)^{2\alpha+2} \right) a^k. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by taking $\tilde{c}_n = \max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq i \leq n} \tilde{c}_{n, i}, \frac{4c_{\alpha, q}}{(q; q)_{\infty} [2\alpha+2]_q} C_n a^{-n} (q^{-n}a)^{2\alpha+2} \right\}$,

we get for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$, $\left| x^n \Lambda_{\alpha, q}^k f(x) \right| \leq \tilde{c}_n a^k$.

Thus, for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$,

$$(1 + |x|^n) \left| \Lambda_{\alpha, q}^k f(x) \right| \leq c_n a^k, \text{ with } c_n = \tilde{c}_0 + \tilde{c}_n.$$

Now, suppose that f satisfies the third statement of the theorem, put $u = F_D^{\alpha, q}(f)$ and fix $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$, such that $|x| > a$.

By the use of the relations (7) and (9), we obtain for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F_D^{\alpha, q} \left(\Lambda_{\alpha, q}^k f \right) (x) = (i)^k x^k F_D^{\alpha, q}(f)(x) = (ix)^k u(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F_D^{\alpha, q} \left(\Lambda_{\alpha, q}^k f \right) (x) \right| &\leq \frac{c_{\alpha, q}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Lambda_{\alpha, q}^k f(t)| |\psi_{\alpha, q}(t, -x)| |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t \\ &\leq \frac{2c_{\alpha, q}}{(q; q)_{\infty}} a^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^{2\alpha+1}}{1 + |t|^n} d_q t. \end{aligned}$$

Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|u(x)| \leq \left[\frac{2c_{\alpha, q}}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^{2\alpha+1}}{1 + |t|^n} d_q t \right] \left(\frac{a}{|x|} \right)^k.$$

As $|x| > a$, we obtain by letting k to $+\infty$, $u(x) = 0$. This proves that $u \in \mathcal{D}_q([-a, a])$ and $f = (F_D^{\alpha, q})^{-1}(u) \in PW_{q, a}^{\alpha}$. ■

THEOREM 2. *The q -Dunkl Paley-Wiener space $PW_{q, a}^{\alpha}$ is the subspace of functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$, the function $T_z^{\alpha, q} f(x)$ is entire on \mathbb{C} and for some $n > 2\alpha + 1$ there exists $c_n > 0$, such that*

$$|T_z^{\alpha, q} f(x)| \leq \frac{c_n}{1 + |x|^n} \psi_{\alpha, q}(|z|, -ia), \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_q \text{ and all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in PW_{q, a}^{\alpha}$. Then there exists $u \in \mathcal{D}_q([-a, a])$ such that $f = (F_D^{\alpha, q})^{-1}(u)$. So, by the relations (9) and (11), we have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$,

$$\left| \Lambda_{\alpha, q}^k f(x) \right| = \frac{c_{\alpha, q}}{2} \left| \int_{-a}^a t^k u(t) \psi_{\alpha, q}(t, x) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t \right| \leq C a^k.$$

Hence, Corollary 1 implies that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$ the function $T_z^{\alpha,q} f(x)$ is entire on \mathbb{C} and for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|T_z^{\alpha,q} f(x)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k(\alpha, q^2) \left| \Lambda_{\alpha,q}^k(f)(x) \right| |z|^k.$$

This inequality together with Theorem 1 implies that there exist $n > 2\alpha + 2$ and $c_n > 0$, such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|T_z^{\alpha,q} f(x)| \leq \frac{c_n}{1 + |x|^n} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k(\alpha, q^2) |az|^k = \frac{c_n}{1 + |x|^n} \psi_{\alpha,q}(|z|, -ia).$$

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$, is satisfying: for all $y \in \mathbb{R}_q$, $T_z^{\alpha,q} f(y)$ is entire on \mathbb{C} and there exist $n > 2\alpha + 2$ and $c_n > 0$, such that

$$|T_z^{\alpha,q} f(y)| \leq \frac{c_n}{1 + |y|^n} \psi_{\alpha,q}(|z|, -ia), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}_q, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let $b \in \mathbb{R}_q$ such that $|b| > a$. From the relation (6), we can see that the two functions $\int_0^{|b|} \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, y) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t$ and $\int_0^{|b|} \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, -y) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t$ are in $\mathcal{S}_q(\mathbb{R}_q)$, and from the relation (16), we can show that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$,

$$T_x^{\alpha,q} \left[\int_0^{|b|} \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, y) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t \right] = \int_0^{|b|} \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, x) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, y) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t. \quad (20)$$

Now, on the one hand, the conditions satisfying by f imply that for all $R > 0$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z| \leq R$, we have:

$$\left| T_z^{\alpha,q} f(y) \left[\int_0^{|b|} \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, y) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t \right] \right| \leq \frac{4|b|^{2\alpha+2}}{(q; q)_\infty [2\alpha + 2]_q} \frac{c_n}{1 + |y|^n} \psi_{\alpha,q}(R, -ia),$$

the functions

$$\varphi_\pm(z) = \frac{c_{\alpha,q}}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T_z^{\alpha,q} f(y) \left[\int_0^{|b|} \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, \pm y) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t \right] |y|^{2\alpha+1} d_q y$$

are entire on \mathbb{C} and for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$|\varphi_\pm(z)| \leq C_n \psi_{\alpha,q}(|z|, -ia), \quad (21)$$

$$\text{with } C_n = \frac{2|b|^{2\alpha+2} c_{\alpha,q}}{(q; q)_\infty [2\alpha + 2]_q} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{1 + |y|^n} |y|^{2\alpha+1} d_q y.$$

On the other hand, from the relations (17) and (20), the Fubini theorem and the fact that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_q$, all $y \in \mathbb{R}_q$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}_q$ such that $0 \leq t \leq |b|$, we have

$$|f(y) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, \pm y) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, \pm x) |y t|^{2\alpha+1}| \leq \frac{16|b|^{2\alpha+1} c_n}{(q; q)_\infty^2} \frac{|y|^{2\alpha+1}}{1 + |y|^n} \quad \text{and } n > 2\alpha + 2,$$

one can see that for all $x \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_q$,

$$\varphi_{\pm}(x) = \int_0^{|b|} F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\mp t) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, \pm x) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t.$$

Furthermore, it is not hard to prove that $\int_0^{|b|} F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\mp t) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, \pm z) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t$ are entire on \mathbb{C} , since for all $0 < t \leq |b|$, $\psi_{\alpha,q}(t, \cdot)$ is entire on \mathbb{C} , and for all $R > 0$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z| < R$,

$$|F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\mp t) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, \pm z) |t|^{2\alpha+1}| \leq |b|^{2\alpha+1} \|F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)\|_{\infty,q} \psi_{\alpha,q}(R, -i|b|).$$

But 0 is a limit point of $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_q$, so the analytic theorem shows that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\varphi_{\pm}(z) = \int_0^{|b|} F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\mp t) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, \pm z) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t.$$

Hence, from (21), we obtain, since $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_q$ and $|b| > a$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\left| \int_0^{|b|} F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\mp t) \psi_{\alpha,q}(t, \pm z) |t|^{2\alpha+1} d_q t \right| \leq C_n \psi_{\alpha,q}(|z|, -ia) \leq C_n \psi_{\alpha,q}(|z|, -iq|b|).$$

So, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |b|^{2\alpha+2} |F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\mp |b|) \psi_{\alpha,q}(|b|, \pm z)| - \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\mp |b|q^k) \psi_{\alpha,q}(|b|q^k, \pm z) \right. \\ \left. \times |bq^k|^{(2\alpha+2)} \right| \leq \frac{C_n}{1-q} \psi_{\alpha,q}(|z|, -iq|b|). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)$ is bounded on \mathbb{R}_q , then using the fact that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and all positive integer k , $|\psi_{\alpha,q}(|b|q^k, \pm z)| \leq \psi_{\alpha,q}(|z|, -iq|b|)$, we get

$$|F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(b)| \leq \tilde{C} \frac{\psi_{\alpha,q}(|z|, -iq|b|)}{|\psi_{\alpha,q}(|b|, \pm z)|}.$$

A replacement of z by ix or $-ix$ gives

$$|F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(b)| \leq \tilde{C} \frac{\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, -iq|b|)}{\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, -i|b|)}, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_{q,+}.$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-2} [\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, -i|b|) - 1 - |b|x] &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k(\alpha, q^2)(x|b|)^{(k-2)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{2k}(\alpha, q^2)(x|b|)^{(2k-2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{2k+1}(\alpha, q^2)(x|b|)^{(2k-1)} \\ &\geq \psi_{\alpha,q}(x, -iq|b|) \end{aligned}$$

and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{\alpha,q}(x, -i|b|) = \infty$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, -i|b|) - 1 - |b|x}{\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, -i|b|)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, -iq|b|)}{\psi_{\alpha,q}(x, -i|b|)} = 0.$$

Thus $u(b) = F_D^{\alpha,q}(f)(\pm|b|) = 0$, which proves that $u = F_D^{\alpha,q}(f) \in \mathcal{D}_q([-a, a])$ and as consequence $f \in PW_{q,a}^\alpha$. ■

In the end of this section, using the relation (8) and making a proof as in [5, Theorem 4], we establish the following result, which gives a q -sampling formula for the q -Dunkl bandlimited signal, using $\pm q^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, as sampling points.

THEOREM 3. For $f \in PW_{q,a}^\alpha$, we have

$$f(z) = (1-q) \frac{2a^{2\alpha+2}}{[2\alpha+2]_q} \frac{(c_{\alpha,q})^2}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, \varepsilon = \pm 1} f(\varepsilon q^k) E(z, a, \varepsilon, k, q),$$

where: $E(z, a, \varepsilon, k, q)$

$$= q^{k(2\alpha+2)} \left[\frac{\varepsilon q^k j_{\alpha+1}(\varepsilon a q^k, q^2) j_\alpha(a q^{-1} z, q^2) - z j_{\alpha+1}(a z, q^2) j_\alpha(\varepsilon a q^{-1} q^k, q^2)}{\varepsilon q^k + z} \right].$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors would like to thank the referees for many helpful suggestions and constructive criticism.

References

- [1] L.D. Abreu, A q -sampling theorem related to the q -Hankel Transform. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133**, No 4 (2005), 1197-1203.
- [2] M. H. Annaby, J. Bustoz, M.E.H. Ismail, On sampling theory and basic Sturm-Liouville systems. *J. Comp. Appl. Math.* **206** (2007), 73-85.
- [3] N. Bettaibi, R.H. Bettaieb, q -analogue of the Dunkl transform on the real line. *Tamsui Oxford Journal of Math. Sciences* 25, No 2 (2009), 178-206.
- [4] F. Bouzeffour, Whittaker-Shannon-Kotel'nikov sampling theorem related to the Askey-Wilson functions. *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.* **14**, No 3 (2007), 375-388.
- [5] L. Dhaouadi, W. Binous, A. Fitouhi, Paley-Wiener theorem for the q -Bessel transform and associated q -sampling formula. *Expos. Math.* **27** (2009), 55-72.

- [6] A. Fitouhi, N. Bettaibi, R.H. Bettaieb, On Hardy's inequality for symmetric integral transforms and analogous. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **198** (2008), 346-354.
- [7] A. Fitouhi, L. Dhaoudi, On a q -Paley-Weiner theorem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **294** (2004), 17-23.
- [8] A.G. Garcia, Orthogonal sampling formulas: A unified approach. *SIAM Rev.* **42**, No 3 (2000), 499-512.
- [9] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*. Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl. Vol. **35**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [10] M.E.H. Ismail, A.I. Zayed, A q -analogue of the Whittaker-Shannon-Kotel'nikov sampling theorem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **131**, No 12 (2003), 3711-3719.
- [11] F.H. Jackson, On a q -Definite Integrals. *Quart. Journal of Pure and Applied Math.* **41** (1910), 193-203.
- [12] T.H. Koornwinder, A new proof of a Paley-Wiener type theorem for the Jacobi transform. *Ark. Mat.* **13** (1975), 145-159.
- [13] Richard L. Rubin, A q^2 -analogue operator for q^2 -analogue Fourier analysis. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **212** (1997), 571-582.
- [14] Richard L. Rubin, Duhamel solutions of non-homogenous q^2 -analogue wave equations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135**, No 3 (2007), 777-785.
- [15] Vu Kim Tuan, A real-variable Paley-Wiener theorem for the Dunkl transform. *Abstract and Appl. Anal.* **2004** (2004), 365-371.
- [16] Vu Kim Tuan, A.I. Zayed, Paley-Wiener-type theorems for a class of integral transforms. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **266** (2002), 200-226.

¹ *Istitut Préparatoire aux Etudes
d'Ingénieur de Nabeul,
Nabeul 8000, TUNISIA
e-mail: nouri.ferjani@yahoo.fr*

² *Istitut Préparatoire aux Etudes
d'Ingénieur Elmanar
Tunis 1068, TUNISIA
e-mail: bouazizslim@yahoo.fr*

³ *Faculté des Sciences de Gabès
Gabès 6072, TUNISIA
e-mail: neji.bettaibi@ipein.rnu.tn*

Received: January 27, 2009

Revised: June 10, 2009